## .UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

PAUL AYOTTE,		Case No. 15-13826
Plaintiff,		SENIOR U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
v.		Arthur J. Tarnow
B. STEMEN, ET. AL.,		U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE STEPHANIE DAWKINS DAVIS
Defendant.		

## ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION [47]; DENYING:

- (1) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION [3];
- (2) MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER/PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION [23];
- (3) AMENDED MOTION TO COMPEL HEARING ON MOTION FOR TRO/PI [27];
  - (4) MOTION REQUESTING A RULING [30];
  - (5) MOTION TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE [31];
  - (6) MOTION REQUESTING RULING ON MULTIPLE MOTIONS [34]

On August 2, 2016, Magistrate Judge Davis issued a Report and Recommendation [47] on the following Motions filed by Plaintiff: Motion For Preliminary Injunction [3]; Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order/Preliminary Injunction [23]; Amended Motion to Compel Hearing on Motion for TRO/PI [27]; Motion Requesting a Ruling [30]; Motion to Take Judicial Notice [31]; and Motion

2:15-cv-13826-AJT-SDD Doc # 52 Filed 09/28/16 Pg 2 of 2 Pg ID 489

Requesting Ruling on Multiple Motions [34]. Neither party has filed any objection

to the Report and Recommendation.

The Court has reviewed the various Motions, responses filed by Defendants

and the Report and Recommendation [47] denying Plaintiffs various Motions

concerning a Preliminary Injunction [3; 23; 27; 30; 31; 34]. The Report and

Recommendation is hereby ADOPTED and entered as the findings and

conclusions of the Court. Accordingly,

**IT IS ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motions for Preliminary Injunction [3; 23;

27; 30; 31; 34] are **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED.

s/Arthur J. Tarnow

Arthur J. Tarnow

Dated: September 28, 2016 Senior United States District Judge

2